PAPA Regional Technical Meeting

(State College)

March 18, 2020 District 2

Brian Gresco
District Materials and Geotechnical Engineer
(814) 765-0463
bgresco@pa.gov





2019 Bituminous Testing

Testing:

- 153 Lots- Mixture Acceptance by box sample
- 115 Lots Density Acceptance by pavement core

Failure:

- 1 AC failures
- 2 Gradation failure
- 2 Density failures
- 43 Multiple Sieve Deviation (MSD)



2019 Bituminous Testing

- Multiple Sieve Deviation (MSD)
 - Deviation on Multiple Sieves (3 or more) for any Single Sample Increment
 - Deviation on Same Sieve Size for Multiple (3 or more)
 Sample Increments
- MSD –Project Office Manual B.9.4-2
 - Requires "cause for Review by District Materials" on Federal Oversight Projects
 - Written Documentation to Central Office
 - Documentation includes
 - Review of quality control plans, construction procedures, material handling, companion samples QA test and DQA test.

RTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

2019 Longitudinal Joint Density Performance

| | Longitudinal Joint Density Summary | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|
| Year | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | | |
| Lots Tested | 79 | 79 81 | | 26 | | |
| % Density Range | 87.8-95.5 | 88.7-94.4 | 82.9-97.3 | 87-98 | | |
| % Average Density | 92.8 | 92.3 | 92.5 | 92.1 | | |
| Total \$ for Incentive Lots | \$331,000 | \$282,450 | \$394,100 | \$81,800 | | |
| Total \$ for Disincentive Lots | (31,800) | (17,400) | (15,640) | 0 | | |
| Delta (Incentive - Disincentive) | \$299,200 | \$265,050 | \$377,260 | \$81,800 | | |
| | | | | | | |

REF: Section 405 Evaluation of Bituminous Pavement Longitudinal Joint Density and Payment of Incentive/Disincentive



2019 Longitudinal Joint Density Performance

- Longitudinal Joint Type
 - Which joint type is being utilized most?
 - Vertical
 - Tapered
 - Which joint type is producing better density?
 - Not sure- We haven't captured this data
 - Are you having any longitudinal joint issues?
 - Pavements failing at longitudinal joint
 - Repair with mill and fill-(19mm and micro surface)
 - Better since Longitudinal Joint Density Spec.
 - Paid out \$1.08 million incentive in last 4 years
 - Still seems to be the weakest link in our pavements pennsylvania

2019 Percent Within Tolerance (PWL)

| | PWT SUMMARY | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Year | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | |
| Number of PWL Projects | 4 | 15 | 13 | 8 | |
| Number HOLA | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | |
| Number LTS | 3 | 15 | 10 | 6 | |
| Average Pay Factors (%) | | | | | |
| Asphalt | 101.24 | 100.19 | 101.21 | 101.47 | |
| PCS | 97.36 | 95.01 | 99.94 | 99.77 | |
| #200 Screen | 101.48 | 100.58 | 102.38 | 100.04 | |
| Density | 102.36 | 102.11 | 101.45 | 101.77 | |
| Average Density | 93.8 | 94.2 | 94.0 | 94.3 | |
| Average Overall Lot Pay Factor (%) | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.01 | |
| Bonus (\$) | \$46,908 | \$303,775 | \$404,198 | \$262,883 | |
| Penalty (\$) | -18,866 | -207,672 | -178,675 | -402,577 | |

2020 Planned Construction Projects

• List Projects With > 1000 tons of WMA Paving



2020 Planned Construction Projects



2020 Planned Construction Projects



2020 Maintenance Asphalt Tons (Estimated)

| County | 9.5 mm | 9.5 mm LVR | 12.5 mm | 19.0 mm | 19.0 mm LVR | 25.0 mm | 25.0 mm LVR | 37.5 mm |
|---------------|-----------|------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| 2-1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2-2 | | | | | | | | |
| 2-3 | | | | | | | | |
| 2-4 | | | | | | | | |
| 2-5 | | | | | | | | |
| 2-6 | | | | | | | | |
| 2-7 | | | | | | | | |
| 2-8 | | | | | | | | |
| 2-9 | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL TONS | | | | | | | | |

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

- Have you tried a 6.33 mm Thin Lift project yet?
 - Yes
 - SR 453 Clearfield County-523 Tons
 - Failed density 1 increment and multiple sieve deviation
- Do you utilize SMA on all Interstate Highways?
 - Yes
 - All interstate and interstate look a likes



- Are you still having tack coat issues? What tack coat type do you predominantly specify? Why?
 - Mostly TACK
 - Specify NTT in urban areas
- Tack Issues
 - Still some pick up- Usually milled surfaces
 - Surfaces not clean
 - Uniform Even Coat



- Have your maintenance forces completed a 19.0 mm for Low Volume Roads mix project yet?
 - No-County Forces do not pave
- Contracts using 19 mm High RAP-Low Volume
 - Four Projects- 93,000 tons
 - 25% RAP mostly and 1 project 30% Rap
 - Same as previous 19mm binder mixes except no acceptance testing



- Will your maintenance forces being piloting 9.5 mm for Low Volume Roads mix project?
 - Maybe, Mifflin Group Project E108370
 - 4000 Tons.
- Have you bid and/or completed any crack and seat projects/rublization/asphalt structural overlay in the past few years?
 - Planned for 2020 SR 0099-163 Centre County-E2738



- Please discuss any Innovations/Best Practices that you have piloted or recommend.
 - Cold Recycle Central Plant Mix 19mm Binder



2020 District Changes - Direction

- Please discuss any changes to policy, specifications, special provisions, staff, etc. that are relevant to the asphalt paving industry.
 - Tom Zurat- District Engineer
 - Leeanne Davis ACE Southern
 - Brian Brosius ACE Northern
 - Beth Forcey Finals Unit Manager
 - District Materials Staff- Same as previous year



Questions/Comments???



Brian Gresco
District 2 Materials & Geotechnical Engineer
814-765-0463
bgresco@pa.gov

