# PAPA Regional Technical Meeting (Cranberry Twp.)

# March 17, 2020 District 11

Mark Gallardo District Materials Manager (412) 429-4817 mgallardo@pa.gov





### 2019 Bituminous Testing

# Testing:

- 106 Lots (25% HOLA) Mixture Acceptance by box sample
- 99 Lots Density Acceptance by pavement core <u>Failure:</u>
- 0 AC failures; 12 Reduced Payment
- 0 Gradation failure; 24 Reduced Payment
- 0 Density failures; 5 Reduced Payment \*\*Reduced payment doesn't necessarily mean reduced payment for the OLPF\*\*



### > 2019 Longitudinal Joint Density Performance

|                                   | Longitudinal Joint Density Summary |           |           |          |  |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--|
| Year                              | 2016                               | 2017      | 2018      | 2019     |  |
| Lots Tested                       | 329                                | 354       | 394       | 371      |  |
| % Density Range                   | 77.9-98.4                          | 85.7-98.5 | 82.9-98   | 81.9-99  |  |
| % Average Density                 | 92.8                               | 92.8      | 92.8      | 92.8     |  |
| Total \$ for Incentive<br>Lots    | 1,342,870                          | 1,229,450 | 1,698,808 | 969,434  |  |
| Total \$ for Disincentive<br>Lots | (27,474)                           | (59,060)  | (49,120)  | (29,461) |  |
| Delta (Incentive – Disincentive)  | 1,315,396                          | 1,170,390 | 1,649,688 | 939,973  |  |

REF: Section 405 Evaluation of Bituminous Pavement Longitudinal Joint Density and Payment of Incentive/Disincentive



### 2019 Longitudinal Joint Density Performance

- Longitudinal Joint Type
  - Which joint type is being utilized most?
    - Tapered
  - Which joint type is producing better density?
    - Tapered
  - Are you having any longitudinal joint issues?
    - We had some water bleeding issues.



### 2019 Percent Within Limits (PWL)

| District 11 - PWL SUMMARY          |              |              |               |              |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| Year                               | 2016         | 2017         | 2018          | 2019         |  |  |
| Number of PWL Projects Let         | 9            | 24           | 20            | 24           |  |  |
| Number HOLA                        | 1            | 1            | 0             | 0            |  |  |
| Number LTS                         | 8            | 23           | 20            | 24           |  |  |
| Average Pay Factors (%)            |              |              |               |              |  |  |
| Asphalt Content                    | 102.61       | 102.57       | 101.43        | 102.21       |  |  |
| Primary Control Sieve              | 100.40       | 100.24       | 101.22        | 96.08        |  |  |
| #200 Sieve                         | 100.82       | 103.27       | 101.50        | 102.92       |  |  |
| Density (Cores)                    | 103.59       | 103.30       | 103.79        | 101.66       |  |  |
| Average Density                    | 94.5         | 94.5         | 94.8          | 94.8         |  |  |
| Average Overall Lot Pay Factor (%) | 1.03         | 1.03         | 1.02          | 1.02         |  |  |
| Incentive (\$)                     | \$148,884.75 | \$349,712.36 | \$399,348.28  | \$168,211.04 |  |  |
| Disincentive (\$)                  | -\$20,736.51 | -\$30,260.94 | -\$107,151.32 | -\$55,620.54 |  |  |



- Have you tried a 6.33 mm Thin Lift project yet?
  - Yes, we've had a couple over the past few years.
  - The District liked our past projects.
  - Too expensive
- Do you utilize SMA on all Interstate Highways?
  - Yes, we've had good experience with SMA over the years.
  - We've also used SMA on non-interstate, high volume roadways. (SR 51 in the South Hills and SR 19 in the North Hills)



- Are you still having tack coat issues? What tack coat type do you predominantly specify? Why?
  - We use NTT/CNTT and have not had any issues with tracking.
- Have your maintenance forces completed a 19.0 mm for Low Volume Roads mix project yet?
  - Yes, we experienced some segregation issues.



- Will your maintenance forces being piloting 9.5 mm for Low Volume Roads mix project?
  - Yes, but currently don't have any other information.
- Have you bid and/or completed any crack and seat projects/rubblization/asphalt structural overlay in the past few years?
  - Yes, we had crack and seat on SR 28.
  - District modified the Pavement Policy and saved over \$5 million on the project.
  - Crack and seat is preferred over rubblization.



- Please discuss any Innovations/Best Practices that you have piloted or recommend.
  - We piloted a 10% RAP SMA Project (ECMS 91790)
  - PennDOT's first use of 10% RAP SMA
  - Eastbound lanes of SR 885 A45 (Boulevard of the Allies)
  - Approximately 1100 tons of WRSMA95
  - Paving Contractor Lindy Paving



### 2020 District Changes - Direction

- Dawn Durst Promoted to Materials Supervisor effective 2/8/2020
- Adam Krehnovi Promoted to Materials Manager 1 effective 3/21/20
- We notified our producers that we will not be approving HMA designs starting this year to align with the new 408 changes.
- We also asked our producers to use the new PGAB designations on all 2020 mix designs.
- Construction field staff will be using SampleID app to enter sample information.



### Questions/Comments???



Mark Gallardo District Materials Manager 412-429-4817 mgallardo@pa.gov

