

### Moisture Sensitivity Testing PAPA Regional Technical Meetings 2016

Neal Fannin Pavement Materials ISSD



## Stripping



## Indications of a Problem

- Had severe and obvious problems with the premature deterioration of some asphalt mix designs with certain aggregates.
- Districts with moisture damage issues were forced to <u>require</u> minimum amounts of liquid anti-strip additives to mitigate moisture damage problems.
- Mix designs in border areas with other states needed anti-strip when used in other states but not in PA.
- No mix designs ever seemed to fail PA modified AASHTO T283 testing needing anti-strip.



#### **Research Project Started**

- COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF ANTISTRIP-ADDITIVES IN HOT MIX ASPHALT WITH VARIOUS AGGREGATES research started 2011.
- Final report May 2015.



#### Test result that told us we had a problem

	Moisture Resistance of Aggregates in Mix				
Test Result					
	Good	Moderate	Poor		
Passed	3	1	5		
Failed	0	0	0		
Error Rates	Туре І	Type II			
	0 %	100 %	100 %		
			hennsvivani		
			DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPOR		

## Action Taken

- Letter to all producers of bituminous mixtures dated October 20,2014.
  - Requires all

**SRL – E and H, 9.5 mm NMAS** mixes must be revaluated before being used in the 2015 construction season.

- Any new mix designs submitted must also be evaluated under the new requirements.
- Districts that currently require minimum anti-strip amounts for certain aggregate types will continue to require them.



#### 2016 & 2017 Requirements

- All wearing and binder mixes approved in 2016 must be reevaluated using the revised moisture susceptibility criteria in order to be approved in 2016.
- All Base mixes approved in 2017 must be reevaluated using the revised moisture susceptibility criteria in order to be approved in 2017.



#### Overall Accuracy of Modified Lottman Procedure, Level 2 Severity as Reported in Literature

Test Result	Strippi	ng Potential of Aggregates i	n Mix
	Low	Moderate	High
Passed	18	8	5.5
Failed	1	5	17.5
Error Rates	Туре І	Туре	
	5 %	61 %	25 %



#### Benefit / Cost for anti-strip use



Figure 23. B/C Ratio for Optimistic Performance, without User Delay Costs, for Different Percentages of Aggregates Susceptible to Moisture Damage, Averaged for All Traffic Levels. Legend refers to whether antistrip usage is mandatory or conditional upon test results.

### Cost Savings

 Table 32. Summary Results of LCCA Comparing High-saturation Moisture Resistance

 Testing to No Testing, without User Delay Costs.

	Cost Savings for Percentage of			
Performance of Susceptible Mixes/	Susceptible Aggregates:			
Antistrip Usage	40	20	10	
Realistic Performance/Conditional on Test Result	\$8,003,222	\$3,958,155	\$1,935,622	
Realistic Performance/Mandatory for All Mixes	\$14,725,686	\$7,183,226	\$3,411,995	
Savings, Mandatory over Conditional	\$6,722,464	\$3,225,071	\$1,476,374	
Savings, % of Total Cost	6.0	3.2	1.6	
Savings, % of Total Cost Optimistic Performance/Conditional on Test	6.0	3.2	1.6	
Savings, % of Total Cost Optimistic Performance/Conditional on Test Result	6.0 \$6,649,216	3.2 \$3,281,152	1.6 \$1,597,120	
Savings, % of Total Cost Optimistic Performance/Conditional on Test Result Optimistic Performance/Mandatory for All Mixes	6.0 \$6,649,216 \$8,466,489	3.2 \$3,281,152 \$4,053.627	1.6 \$1,597,120 \$1.847,196	
Savings, % of Total Cost Optimistic Performance/Conditional on Test Result Optimistic Performance/Mandatory for All Mixes Savings, Mandatory over Conditional	6.0 \$6,649,216 \$8,466,489 \$1,817,273	3.2 \$3,281,152 \$4,053,627 \$772,475	1.6 \$1,597,120 \$1.847,196 \$250,076	



- Current Specials / Requirements
  - Districts 1, 2, 4, & 9 Using special that requires:
    - 0.25% if coarse aggregate used is gravel, sandstone, siltstone, calcareous sandstone.
    - 0.25% if fine aggregate used is gravel, sandstone, siltstone, calcareous sandstone.
    - 0.5% if both fine and coarse aggregate used is gravel, sandstone, siltstone, calcareous sandstone.
  - Warm mix requires 0.25% anti-strip.



- Proposed change
  - Require 0.25% (or minimum required by manufacturer of anti-strip) in all asphalt mixes.
    - Will not require additional testing of mixtures.
  - Foamed warm mix would not require additional testing but would contain the same anti-strip as the hot mix parent.
  - Require additional AASHTO T283 testing for mixes with both coarse <u>and</u> fine aggregates that are gravel, sandstone, siltstone, slag, quartz, or shale with 0.5% (or dosage rate recommended by manufacturer for mixtures that fail AASTO T 283 test at lowest dosage)



- Proposed change
  - Require additional AASHTO T283 testing for mixes with both coarse <u>and</u> fine aggregates that are gravel, sandstone, siltstone, slag, quartz, or shale with 0.5% (or dosage rate recommended by manufacturer for mixtures that fail AASTO T 283 test at lowest dosage)

- Producers may add higher dosage and avoid testing.



# Silicates play a large role in asphalt stripping.



#### Aggregates Rich in Silica Have More Propensity to Strip



- Proposed change
  - Computation of anti-strip dosage.
    - Based on virgin asphalt for mixes with RBR of 0.15 or less.
    - Based on total asphalt for mixes over 0.15 RBR.



- Please comment on the CT 1.
- Comments due 2/4/2016



#### Sources

- C, Ivan Harnish, ArrMaz Custom Chemicals, 2/3/2010 PowerPoint
- Kevin Gnegy, District 9-0, Garth Bridenbaugh, Q.A., John Swalligan, District 2-0.
- Don Christensen, Advanced Asphalt Technologies, LLC
- Dennis Morian, Quality Engineering Solutions, Inc.



### Questions?

