# Polymer- Modified Bridge Deck Waterproof Surface Mix

Pennsylvania Asphalt Pavement Association 60<sup>th</sup> Annual Paving Conference Hershey, PA January 22, 2020

Ron Corun Specialty Products Manager Associated Asphalt



- Mix designed to provide rut resistance
- Mix designed to provide extreme fatigue resistance – may experience much greater vertical movement than on a roadway
- Mix designed to achieve density without vibratory compaction
- Mix designed to have extremely low permeability "water proof"
- Mix designed to have excellent workability

- Challenge in the past to maintain rut resistance and extreme flexibility at the same time
- Industry has used Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene (SBS) polymers to modify asphalt for over 25 years
  - Styrene is a hard plastic material provides stiffness
  - Butadiene is man-made rubber provides flexibility

- In the past, SBS dosage levels above 4% have created asphalt binders with poor workability
- New formulations of SBS polymer now allow dosages >7% with excellent workability
- Now possible to provide high levels of rut and fatigue resistance at the same time with high levels of SBS polymer modification
- Associated Asphalt formulated a highly modified asphalt to meet NJDOT specification for bridge deck applications – StellarFlex BD

- NJDOT and Rutgers University developed a Bridge Deck Waterproof Surface Course (BDWSC) mix to utilize highly polymer-modified asphalt
  - 3/8" mix designed at 1% air voids to provide impermeable mix
  - Mixture rut test specification
  - Mixture fatigue cracking test specification
  - No specification for PG grade of asphalt binder requires polymer modified binder that allows mix to pass rutting and fatigue test requirements

#### Bridge Deck Water Proof Wearing Surface Course - Specifications

Table 555.02.01-1 Job Mix Formula Requirements for BDWSC	
Sieve Size	Percent Passing by Mass
1/2"	100
3/8"	80-90
#4	55-85
#8	32-42
#16	20-30
#30	12-22
#50	7-16
#100	3-12
#200	2,0-6,0
Minimum Percent Asphalt	7.0
Binder by Mass of Total Mix	

#### **BDWSC Rut Testing**





#### Asphalt Pavement Analyzer AASHTO TP 63

- 100 lb. wheel load; 100 psi hose pressure
- Tested at 64°C for 8,000 loading cycles
- Measures rut depth
- NJDOT BDWSC specification rutting ≤ 3 mm

#### **BDWSC Rut Testing**



#### **BDWSC Fatigue Test**





- Flexural Beam Fatigue Device, AASHTO T-321
  - Tests mix's ability to withstand repeated bending which causes fatigue failure
  - Data = number of loading cycles to failure (loss of stiffness)

## **BDWSC Fatigue Test**



- Beam Fatigue Test typically run at 900 µ-strain and 10 Hz (high deflection, slow moving vehicle)
- For additional vertical movement in bridge decks, test for BDWSC is run at 1500 µ-strain
- NJDOT requires > 100,000
  cycles to failure

#### **BDWSC Beam Fatigue**

Beam Fatigue, Cycles to Failure



## **BDWSC Permeability Test**



#### Falling Head Permeability Test

- Most commonly used for asphalt
- Can test 4 or 6" diameter cores
- Rubber membrane forced on side of samples (15 psi) to prevent side leakage

## **BDWSC Permeability Testing**



 BDWSC mixture was found to be "impermeable" – could not get water to flow through sample

Samples cored from 6-inch diameter gyratory sample

#### **BDWSC Projects – NJ Route 87**



- NJ Route 87 Absecon Inlet Bridge
- Paved in 2008 with BDWSC mix
- 2008 National Asphalt Pavement Association (NAPA) "Quality in Construction" award winner

## BDWSC Projects – George Washington Bridge (GWB)



- GWB presents extreme challenge to asphalt mix
- Orthotropic steel deck substantial vertical movement
- Most heavily trafficked bridge in the world – 108 million vehicles per year
- BDWSC mix performing well after seven years









## **Chesapeake Bay Bridge Tunnel**



PAPA member Allan Myers is currently repaving the 18 mile long Chesapeake Bay Bridge Tunnel with StellarFlex<sup>®</sup> Bridge Deck Binder

## **BDWSC Summary**

- BDWSC binder and mix is very attractive product for bridge deck paving
  - Excellent workability
  - Liquid asphalt delivered to contractor ready-to-use
    - No additives at asphalt plant
    - Liquid asphalt is delivered and certified to meet requirements prior to use
  - Excellent rut resistance
  - Superior fatigue resistance
  - Excellent compactibility
  - Environmentally friendly
  - Economical

#### **Questions**?

## StellarFlex FR<sup>®</sup> Binder For High Friction Chip Seals



#### Pennsylvania Asphalt Pavement Association 60<sup>th</sup> Annual Paving Conference Hershey, PA January 22, 2020

Ronald Corun Specialty Products Manager Associated Asphalt Partners, LLC



#### High Friction Surface Treatment (HFST)



- FHWA has been promoting HFST for safety
- HFST consists of epoxy binder and bauxite aggregate
- NJDOT placed sections of HFST in 2018 and experienced severe delamination of epoxy binder



\*From designs with different resin binders

NJDOT hired Rutgers University to investigate

- Major cause epoxy expands and contracts at a much higher rate than asphalt pavement
- Causes tearing and cracking resulting in delamination
- Aged pavements are less able to withstand stresses



**Crack @ HFST Terminus Due to Thermal Contraction** 



Delamination caused by thermal contraction stresses



- Rutgers proposed to replace the epoxy with PG 88-22FR asphalt binder used by FAA (actually grades as a PG 94-22)
- NJDOT placed sections of High Friction Chip Seal (HFCS) using FR binder with bauxite aggregate and local trap rock aggregate in 2018
- Excellent performance after one year

**Bond Strength (psi)** 



Source – Rutgers University Study



Chip seal installation contractor raves about FR binder as chip adhesive

- Sprays easily
- Excellent chip retention
- No broken windshields
- No bleeding











NJ Route 68 - One year old HFCS With FR Binder



 NJDOT measured initial skid number of SN = 70

#### **HFCS Cost Compared to HFST**

Cost per Square Yard, \$



PG 88-22FR Binder provides excellent performance at a lower cost

Cost data courtesy
 NJDOT

## Summary

- HFCS using PG 88-22FR asphalt binder eliminates HFST epoxy coefficient of expansion incompatibility – which is major cause of delamination
- HFCS application becomes a standard chip seal installation
- Comparable bond strength to epoxy
- Excellent performance to date
- Substantial cost savings

#### **Questions?**